

The Orissa Gazette



EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 341 CUTTACK, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2005 / FALGUNA 3, 1926

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 27th January 2005

No. 869-li/1(B)-92/2000-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 3rd December 2004, in Industrial Dispute Case No. 95 of 2000 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s. Kassam Alli Nasar, Malgodown, Cuttack (Goudasahi) and its Workman Shri Gouranga Charan Sahoo was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 95 OF 2000
Dated the 3rd December 2004

Present:

Shri P. K. Sahoo, o.s.j.s. (Jr. Br.)
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
Bhubaneswar.

Between:

The Management of First Party—Management
M/s. Kassam Alli Nasar
S/o. Late Vali Mohammad
Mangalabag (Goudasahi), Cuttack.

Vrs.

Shri Gouranga Charan Sahoo Second Party—Workman
C/o. General Secretary
Cuttack Commercial Workers Union
At Gosala Road, P. O. Nayabazar, Cuttack.

Appearances:

For both the Parties None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department, vide Memo. No. 9704(6)-LE., dated the 17th July 2000 in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the matter in dispute to this court, vide Labour & Employment Department Notification No. 8007-LE., dated the 18th July 1985, read with Notification No. 5323-LE., dated the 18th May 1998 for adjudication.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Gouranga Charan Sahoo, Gumasta by the Management of M/s. Kassam Alli Nasar, Malgodown, Cuttack with effect from the 31st March 1996 is legal and/or justified ? If not, to what relief Shri Sahoo is entitled ?”

2. The workman is absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. Similarly the management is also absent and no steps taken on its behalf. The perusal of the case record clearly emerges that both the management and the workman are absent repeatedly. In such view of the matter, a No Dispute Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO

3-12-2004

Presiding Officer

Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

P. K. SAHOO

3-12-2004

Presiding Officer

Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

D. MISHRA

Under-Secretary to Government